

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/688,860	JOSHI ET AL.	
Examiner Ehud Gartenberg	Art Unit 3746		

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Scott Pierce.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 February 2005

**Time:** AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 19, 59

Prior art documents discussed:

*All prior art of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreement was reached on the attached Examiner that put the Application in condition of allowance, by better defining the claimed invention over the prior art of record. Claim 59 was canceled because it did not define over the prior art of record in combination with the thermodynamic definition of work "pdV" in view of car safety bags.